

STATUS OF AUDITS STARTED DURING THE YEAR

Audit	Status	Audit Opinion
Fundamental Systems		
Bank Reconciliation	WIP	
Housing Benefit	WIP	
Statement on Internal Control 2006/07	Final	Satisfactory
Non Fundamental Systems		
Management of Property	WIP	
ICT- Use of Contractors	Draft with Client	
Lifelong Learning	Final	Good
Governance		
Delegations Arrangements – Directors Responsibilities	Draft with Client	
ICT Contractors Expenses	Draft with Client	
Performance Management		
Best Vale Performance Indicators	WIP	
Establishment Audits		
Kingstone High School (DCSF Standard)	Draft with School	
John Kyrle High School (DCSF Standard)	Final	Standard met
Aylestone High (DCSF Standard)	Draft with School	
Wyebridge Sports College (DCSF Standard)	Final	On target to be met.
Weobley High (DCSF Standard)	Draft with School	
Lady Hawkins High (DCSF Standard)	Draft with School	
Wigmore High (DCSF Standard)	Draft with School	
Queen Elizabeth High (DCSF Standard)	Draft with School	
St. Marys High (DCSF Standard)	Draft with School	
Whitecross High (DCSF Standard)	Final	On target to be met
Fairfield High (DCSF Standard)	Final	On target to be met
Minster College (DCSF Standard)	Draft with School	
Bishop of Hereford Bluecoat (DCSF Standard)	Final	Standard met
John Masefield High (DCFS Standard)	Final	On target to be met
Verification and Probity		
Drugs Forum - Partnership Management	Final	Unsatisfactory
Contract - Ross Flood Alleviation	Final Draft	
Local Area Agreement - Grant	Final	N/a
Recommendation Follow up		
Control of ID Cards	Draft with Manager	
ICT FMS	Draft with Client	
Risk Management		
Risk Management Framework	Draft with Manager	

STATUS OF AUDITS STARTED DURING THE YEAR

Quantification and Classification of Internal Control Levels

Control Level	Definition
Good	A few minor recommendations (if any).
Satisfactory	Minimal risk; a few areas identified where changes would be beneficial.
Marginal	A number of areas have been identified for improvement.
Unsatisfactory	Unacceptable risks identified, changes should be made.
Unsound	Major risks identified; fundamental improvements are required.

The Audit Opinion is based on a number of factors including the number of Level 1 and, to a lesser extent, Level 2 recommendations. Weighting is given to different aspects of the Audit e.g. a high weighting for budgetary control. It is expected that larger systems and establishments will receive higher numbers of recommendations and allowance is made for this.